THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF ENERGY (SHRI BABANRAO DHAKANE): (a) to (c) The State Electricity Boards are autonomous bodies created by the State Governments under the provisions of the Electricity (Supply) Act, 1948 and the Central Government does not have any direct administrative control over their operations. The Central Government has been emphasising to the State Governments that they should have adequately trained technical manpower in the State Electricity Boards for meeting their needs and should provide them with the requisite incentives to motivate them towards higher efficiency in power production and supply. It has, however, not issued any directive to the State Electricity Boards in regard to the improved service conditions for the technicians.

Performance of the department of prevention of food adulteration, Delhi Administration

452. SHRI ANANTRAY DEVSHANKER DAVE: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Department of Prevention of Food Adulteration, Delhi Administration, Delhi has completely failed to unearth the sale of adulterated/substandard food items in the Capital;

(b) if so, whether Government propose to direct the said Delhi Administration to deal with the adulterators strictly; and

(c) the details of performance of the Department of Prevention of Food Adulteration, Delhi Administration, Delhi during the last six months?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (PROF. SHAKEELUR REHMAN): (a) to (c) As per the information made available by Delhi Administration, Department of PFA, Delhi has been taking a number of measures for ensuring quality food to the consumers in the capital during the last six months. Such measures includes:

1. Lifting of samples of various food articles.
2. Inspection-cum-education programme to import education to vendors to maintain hygienic conditions/cleanliness during monsoon season specially in slumps/J.J. cononies.

Complaints against Kelkar Committee Members

453. SHRIMATI RATAN KUMARI: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that allegations of corruption and favours from the producers were received against Kelkar Committee members and former Development Commissioner (PI) who personally processed the recommendations of the Committee;

(b) what are the details of these allegations and what action has been taken;

(c) whether it is also a fact that complaints regarding misuse by certain officers in the Office of the Development Commissioner (PI) of their position have been received; and

(d) if so, what are the details thereof and action taken on each complaint?

THE MINISTER OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS AND PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI SATYA PRAKASH MALAVIYA): (a) to (d) The information is being compiled and will be laid on the Table of the House.

Export oriented proposals in respect of pesticides and weedicides

454. SHRIMATI RATAN KUMARI: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS be pleased to state:

(a) what are the export oriented proposals in respect of pesticides, Insecticides and Weedicides which have been recommended by his Ministry during the last three years including the current year;

(b) what are the names of companies involved in respect of each product and what was the value added and how was it calculated;

(c) whether it is a fact that certain instances of wrong calculation of value

addition in favour of multinational companies have come to the notice of his Ministry;

(d) if so, what are the details and what action has been taken in each case; and

(e) what was the FOB value of exports and CIF import content involved in each case?

THE MINISTER OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS AND PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI SATYA PRAKASH MALAVIYA): (a) to (c) To the txtent available company-wise details of export oriented proposals in respect of pesticides, Insecticides and Weedicides recommended by this Department during the last three years, year-wise are given in the Annexure. Value addition of products was calculated as per prescribed norms. (*See* below).

(c) No, Sir.

(d) Does not arise.

Statement

S. No.	Name of the Company	Name of the product	FCB value	CIF value	Value addition
1988					
1.	M/s. Sandoz (I) Ltd.	Sumithion 50% of EC (Fenitnothion)	Rs. 780 lakhs	Rs. 500 lakhs	40%
2.	Do.	Dosanex 80% WP	Rs. 177 lakhs	Rs. 130 lakhs	36%
3.	M/s. Vellabh Pesticides Experts.	Insecticides Fungicides Weedicides Acaricides Herbicides	Rs. 26330 lakhs	Rs. 18826 lakhs	40%
1989					
1.	M/s. M.J. International.	Karate 5% EC Fusilade Super 12·5% EC	Rs. 16·24 crores	Rs. 9·65 crores	35%
2.	M/s. Hoechst India Ltd.	Illoxen	Rs. 556 lakhs	Rs. 410 lakhs	35.6%
3.	M/s. United Phosphorous	Targa 10% EC	Rs. 904 lakhs	Rs. 560·43 lakhs	61%
4.	M/s. Aallis India Ltd. Ltd.	Sumi Alpha 5%	Rs. 890 lakhs	Rs. 280·635 lakhs	39%
5.	M/s. Arlabs Ltd.	Isoprotnuron	US$ 10/KG	Nil	70%
1990					
1.	M/s. Sandoz India Ltd.	Omite 50% EC	Rs. 513·85 lakhs	Rs. 326·25 lakhs	57·5%
2.	M/s. S. . International	Nabu 20% EC	Rs. 128.74 lakhs	Rs. 75·31 lakhs	70·9%
3.	M/s. Rallis India Ltd.	Alpha Nephthyl Acetic Acid	Rs. 1·12 lakhs	Nil	100%